



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 09/682,168 | 07/31/2001 | Marshall R. Moore | 1287.02 | 9029 |
| 21901 | 7590 | 04/11/2006 | EXAMINER | |
| SMITH & HOPEN PA 15950 BAY VISTA DRIVE SUITE 220 CLEARWATER, FL 33760 | | | CASTELLANO, STEPHEN J | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3727 | |

DATE MAILED: 04/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

e

| | | | |
|------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. 09/682,168 | Applicant(s) MOORE, MARSHALL R. | |
| | Examiner Stephen J. Castellano | Art Unit 3727 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 February 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) -3 and 6-15 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Claims 4, 5 and 16 have been canceled. Claims 1-3 and 6-15 are pending.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 and 6-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hall in view of McGarvey and Keehan.

Hall discloses an above ground tank for flammable liquid having secondary containment capability comprising an inner steel primary tank 12 (col. 4, lines 4-6), an outer steel secondary tank 20 (see col. 4, lines 33-34), within the interstitial space an inner layer (absorbing layer 70 of a polypropylene cellular sheet) is adjacent to the inner primary tank and an outer layer of fire resistant polymer material (polymer foamed concrete 90, concrete mixed with Elasticell foaming solution). The tank construction is best shown in Fig. 8. Hall discloses the invention except for the insulating foam material. McGarvey teaches insulating foam material (thermal barrier material 117, see col.3, lines 50-57) within the interstitial space between inner and outer walls of an above ground fuel storage tank. It would have been obvious to replace the cellular sheet material 70 of Hall with McGarvey's foam material to provide enhanced insulation without defeating the adsorbing function or permeability characteristics needed by this layer as foamed concrete, STYROFOAM, and urethane foam all allow fluid leakage to permeate therethrough. If it should be deemed that any of the foam materials of McGarvey restrict the passage of fluid, then it would have been obvious to make this modification in situations where thermal insulating is more important than leak detection.

If it should be deemed that McGarvey lacks a showing of two layers within the interstitial space, then Keehan is applied to show the two interstitial layers as shown in Fig. 9. Inner layer 88 and outer layer 98 form an interstitial space having an inner foam layer 92 and an outer fire resistant layer 94. It would have been obvious to add a foam layer between the inner layer and fire resistant layer to provide energy absorption to protect other layers so that spillage is prevented in a situation where the tank damaged by another moving object (see col. 5, lines 38-40). This is a safety feature.

Re claims 2 and 3 and “hot rolled carbon steel,” the hot rolled process is given little weight in a product claimed and the hot rolled process doesn’t particularly define any specific structural quality over other processes. Official notice is taken that carbon steel and hot rolled carbon steel are well known steels. It would have been obvious that the steel have carbon content and be made by the hot rolled process due to the abundance and low cost of this sheet steel product and its wide acceptance in use, especially in the construction of steel tanks.

Re claims 6, 7 and 9, McGarvey teaches these specific materials.

Re claims 8 and 10, Official notice is taken that polymethyl and rubber are well known insulating foam materials. It would have been obvious to use these materials to provide easier installation as these materials flex easily around sharp bends.

Re claim 11, detector tube 76 is disclosed. It would have been obvious to attach a leak sensor to complete the intended purpose of monitoring the interstitial space.

Re claim 12, emergency vent 46 vents the inner tank

Re claim 13, Official notice is taken that vents for an interstitial space is well known. It would have been obvious to provide such vent to prevent an explosive mixture from becoming trapped within this space. This enhances safety and the risk of catastrophic explosion.

Re claim 14, this claim recites a method of use in a product claim. The product claim is interpreted as being capable of supplying fuel to a generator.

Re claim 15, the top surface of the exterior of Hall's tank is capable of supporting a generator.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 and 6-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.


Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3727

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Castellano whose telephone number is 571-272-4535. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-F 6:30-5.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Stephen J. Castellano
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3727

sjc